Contribution ID: c85a4bda-a21b-49d5-8880-d9b8a5d1ff6a

Date: 23/09/2024 15:21:47

Interim Evaluation of the European Capital of Culture action of the European Union

Fields marked with * are mandatory.

About you
*Language of my contribution
Bulgarian
Croatian
Czech
Danish
Dutch
English
Estonian
Finnish
French
German
Greek
Hungarian
Irish
Italian
Latvian
Lithuanian
Maltese
Polish
Portuguese
Romanian
Slovak

Slovenian

Spanish

Swedish
*I am giving my contribution as
Academic/research institution
Business association
Company/business
Consumer organisation
EU citizen
Environmental organisation
Non-EU citizen
Non-governmental organisation (NGO)
Public authority
Trade union
Other
*First name
Kadri
*Surname
Jauram
*Email (this won't be published)
kadri.jauram@kul.ee
*Scope
International
© Local
National
Regional
*Level of governance Parliament
1 amament
 Authority
Agency
*Organisation name

Establish Ministry of Outure		
Estonian Ministry of Culture		
•		

*Organisation size

- Micro (1 to 9 employees)
- Small (10 to 49 employees)
- Medium (50 to 249 employees)
- Large (250 or more)

Transparency register number

Check if your organisation is on the transparency register. It's a voluntary database for organisations seeking to influence EU decision-making.

*Country of origin

Please add your country of origin, or that of your organisation.

This list does not represent the official position of the European institutions with regard to the legal status or policy of the entities mentioned. It is a harmonisation of often divergent lists and practices.

0	Afghanistan	0	Djibouti		Libya	Saint Martin
	Åland Islands		Dominica		Liechtenstein	Saint Pierre and
						Miquelon
0	Albania	0	Dominican		Lithuania	Saint Vincent
			Republic			and the
						Grenadines
	Algeria		Ecuador		Luxembourg	Samoa
	American Samoa		Egypt		Macau	San Marino
	Andorra		El Salvador		Madagascar	São Tomé and
						Príncipe
0	Angola	0	Equatorial Guinea	a	Malawi	Saudi Arabia
0	Anguilla	0	Eritrea		Malaysia	Senegal
	Antarctica	•	Estonia		Maldives	Serbia
	Antigua and		Eswatini		Mali	Seychelles
	Barbuda					
	Argentina		Ethiopia		Malta	Sierra Leone
0	Armenia	0	Falkland Islands		Marshall Islands	Singapore
0	Aruba	0	Faroe Islands		Martinique	Sint Maarten

0	Australia		Fiji		Mauritania		Slovakia
0	Austria		Finland	0	Mauritius		Slovenia
0	Azerbaijan		France	0	Mayotte		Solomon Islands
0	Bahamas	0	French Guiana	0	Mexico	0	Somalia
0	Bahrain		French Polynesia	0	Micronesia		South Africa
0	Bangladesh		French Southern	0	Moldova		South Georgia
			and Antarctic				and the South
			Lands				Sandwich
							Islands
0	Barbados		Gabon	0	Monaco	0	South Korea
0	Belarus		Georgia	0	Mongolia		South Sudan
0	Belgium		Germany	0	Montenegro		Spain
0	Belize		Ghana	0	Montserrat		Sri Lanka
0	Benin		Gibraltar		Morocco		Sudan
0	Bermuda		Greece		Mozambique		Suriname
0	Bhutan		Greenland	0	Myanmar/Burma		Svalbard and
							Jan Mayen
0	Bolivia		Grenada	0	Namibia		Sweden
0	Bonaire Saint		Guadeloupe	0	Nauru		Switzerland
	Eustatius and						
	Saba						
	Bosnia and		Guam		Nepal		Syria
	Herzegovina						
0	Botswana	0	Guatemala	0	Netherlands	0	Taiwan
0	Bouvet Island	0	Guernsey	0	New Caledonia	0	Tajikistan
0	Brazil		Guinea		New Zealand		Tanzania
0	British Indian		Guinea-Bissau		Nicaragua		Thailand
	Ocean Territory						
0	British Virgin	0	Guyana		Niger		The Gambia
_	Islands						
0	Brunei	0	Haiti	0	Nigeria	0	Timor-Leste
	Bulgaria		Heard Island and	0	Niue		Togo
_			McDonald Islands	3		_	
	Burkina Faso		Honduras		Norfolk Island		Tokelau

	Burundi	Hong Kong		Northern	0	Tonga
				Mariana Islands		
	Cambodia	Hungary		North Korea	0	Trinidad and
						Tobago
	Cameroon	Iceland		North Macedonia	0	Tunisia
	Canada	India		Norway		Türkiye
	Cape Verde	Indonesia		Oman	0	Turkmenistan
	Cayman Islands	Iran		Pakistan	0	Turks and
						Caicos Islands
	Central African	Iraq		Palau	0	Tuvalu
	Republic					
	Chad	Ireland		Palestine	0	Uganda
	Chile	Isle of Man		Panama	0	Ukraine
	China	Israel		Papua New	0	United Arab
				Guinea		Emirates
	Christmas Island	Italy		Paraguay	0	United Kingdom
	Clipperton	Jamaica		Peru	0	United States
	Cocos (Keeling)	Japan	0	Philippines	0	United States
	Islands					Minor Outlying
						Islands
	Colombia	Jersey		Pitcairn Islands	0	Uruguay
	Comoros	Jordan		Poland	0	US Virgin Islands
	Congo	Kazakhstan		Portugal	0	Uzbekistan
	Cook Islands	Kenya		Puerto Rico	0	Vanuatu
	Costa Rica	Kiribati		Qatar	0	Vatican City
	Côte d'Ivoire	Kosovo		Réunion	0	Venezuela
	Croatia	Kuwait		Romania	0	Vietnam
	Cuba	Kyrgyzstan		Russia	0	Wallis and
						Futuna
	Curaçao	Laos		Rwanda	0	Western Sahara
0	Cyprus	Latvia		Saint Barthélemy	0	Yemen
	Czechia	Lebanon		Saint Helena	0	Zambia
				Ascension and		
				Tristan da Cunha		

0	Democratic	Lesotho	Saint Kitts and	Zimbabwe
	Republic of the		Nevis	
	Congo			
0	Denmark	Liberia	Saint Lucia	

The Commission will publish all contributions to this public consultation. You can choose whether you would prefer to have your details published or to remain anonymous when your contribution is published. Fo r the purpose of transparency, the type of respondent (for example, 'business association, 'consumer association', 'EU citizen') country of origin, organisation name and size, and its transparency register number, are always published. Your e-mail address will never be published. Opt in to select the privacy option that best suits you. Privacy options default based on the type of respondent selected

*Contribution publication privacy settings

The Commission will publish the responses to this public consultation. You can choose whether you would like your details to be made public or to remain anonymous.

Anonymous

Only organisation details are published: The type of respondent that you responded to this consultation as, the name of the organisation on whose behalf you reply as well as its transparency number, its size, its country of origin and your contribution will be published as received. Your name will not be published. Please do not include any personal data in the contribution itself if you want to remain anonymous.

Public

Organisation details and respondent details are published: The type of respondent that you responded to this consultation as, the name of the organisation on whose behalf you reply as well as its transparency number, its size, its country of origin and your contribution will be published. Your name will also be published.

I agree with the personal data protection provisions

Introduction

The European Capital of Culture (ECoC) initiative was first launched in 1985 and over 60 cities have now been awarded the title over the last 40 years. In its current form it has been designed to promote the diversity of cultures in Europe as well as to increase European citizens' sense of belonging to a common cultural area. It also contributes to the regeneration of cities, raising their international profile, boosting tourism, and breathing new life into a city's culture offer as well as enhancing the image of cities for both inhabitants and visitors alike. There are two title-holding cities every year, in two different Member States of the Union, and in given years, a third one in a city from an EFTA/EEA country, candidate country or

potential candidate.

The European Commission is undertaking the first interim evaluation of the ECoC action 2020-2033. The evaluation will look back at the implementation of the action since the adoption of its current legal framework in 2014, but also at the impact on title-holding cities from 2013 to 2019 (selected and monitored under a previous legal basis). It seeks to identify the impacts the action has made in the last ten years, understand the full potential of the legacy of hosting an ECoC, and to provide lessons that future ECoC cities and cities interested in developing their culture strategies can learn from.

This public consultation will serve as an integral part of the evaluation of the initiative. It seeks feedback from all stakeholders involved in the implementation, management, and delivery of the European Capital of Culture scheme as well as from the wider public who are either interested or participate in culture.

Why this consultation?

The purpose of this evaluation is to:

- Assess the European Capitals of Culture action as a whole, including the efficiency of the processes running it, as well as the effectiveness, relevance, coherence, and EU added value of the action
- Draw comparisons and provide lessons learnt for future European Capitals of Culture as well as for all European cities interested in boosting their cultural offer
- Identify impacts of the European Capitals of Culture action and areas for improvement
- Gather views on the successor scheme for the European Capitals of Culture beyond 2033

Who is invited to contribute to this consultation?

All citizens and organisations are invited to contribute to this consultation.

Contributions are particularly sought from:

- Representatives from local authorities and organisations (e.g. civil society organisations, networks and associations, private sector organisations), from cities that have been involved in the ECoC action;
- Representatives from local authorities and organisations (e.g. civil society organisations, networks and associations, private sector organisations) from cities that have not yet been involved the ECoC action;
- Citizens, whether or not they have actively been involved in the ECoC action;
- Any organisation or citizen with specific expertise or interest in the fields of culture, urban development, tourism and socio-economic policy.

General information about respondents

- *How important is investment in the cultural sector to you?
 - Very important

* The process to select the cities hosting the ECoC	•			0		
* The monitoring process in selected cities leading to the ECoC year	•	0	0	0		
e you representing or are you related to a proper in the past?	a city that	has been	selected	d as a		
 Yes						
No, but my city has bid for ECoC state	us					
No, neither a selected city nor a biddi	ng city					
I don't know/ prefer not to answer						
what extent do you think the overall ECo lowing objectives in the period 2013 – 20		managed	to achie	ve the		
	To a large exten		To a limited extent	Not at all	Don't know	
* Encourage the implementation of a large number of cultural activities with a strong European dimension, involving European artists and developed through international partnerships	•	0	0	0	0	
* Contribute to raise citizens' awareness of the diversit of European cultures and the common features they share	У	•	0	0	0	
* Strengthen the cultural offer in the title-holding cities through better cultural strategies and increased spending on culture	•	0	0	0	0	

Very

familiar

0

0

Quite

familiar

A little

familiar

Moderately important

Not very important

Not important at all

* EU cultural policy and priorities

To what extent are you familiar with the following:

* The European Capital of Culture action in general

I don't know

Not

familiar at

all

0	•	0	0	0
0	0	•	0	0
•	0	0	0	0
•	0	0	0	0
0	•	0	0	0
0	•	0	0	0
				

When it comes to the ECOC brand and visibility, in your opinion:

	To a large extent	To some extent	To a limited extent	Not at all	Don't know
* To what extent are citizens aware of the existence of the ECoC action?	0	•	0	0	0
* To what extent are citizens aware that the ECoC is an EU initiative?	0	0	•	0	0
* To what extent is the ECoC brand recognised as a seal of quality for title holding cities?	0	•	0	0	0

Please rank the following factors from the most relevant (1) to the least relevant (6) to the success of the ECoC action:

Use drag&drop or the up/down buttons to change the order or accept the initial order.

H Capacity of city authorities to run an ECoC \vdots Capacity of cultural organisations to host an ECoC related activity H Political will and support for culture H Available finances to fund an ECoC H Other policies areas (e.g. education, employment, health, etc) being seen as more important H

Are there other factors able to affect the success of the ECoC action?

A lack of understanding of how culture can support social and economic development

reachability, connectivity, number of visits. Innovation - approaches of organising culture including in public spaces. Finding balance between local engagement as well as international.

To what extent are the following criteria for the selection of the cities hosting the European Capital of Culture title relevant to the issues affecting cities in the EU?

	Very relevant	Moderately relevant	Slightly relevant	Not relevant at all	Don't know/ Not applicable
* City regeneration	•	0	0	0	•
* Development of quality tourism	0	•	0	0	0
* Image enhancement	0	0	•	0	0
* Social inclusion	•	0	0	0	0
* Environmental sustainability	•	0	0	0	0

What other criteria, if any, should be used for the selection of cities for the ECoC title?

Ability to include region in international cooperation	, connectivity, accessibility, among other by digital means.
--	--

- *In your opinion, to what extent do you think the selection procedure established in 2014 works well to select the best candidate city to host the European Capital of Culture?
 - Works very well
 - Works quite well
 - Does not work that well
 - Does not work at all
 - I don't know
- *Please explain your answer, in particular, mention any factors that could positively or negatively affect the selection process:

Covid interrupted the eye-to-eye meetings.	

planning of the European Capital of Culture year? Please take into account the development of a longer-term cultural strategy, engaging with citizens about the cultural activities to take place, and preparation adequate infrastructure. Largely sufficient Moderately sufficient Hardly sufficient Not at all sufficient I don't know *Please explain your answer and add concrete examples if possible:	of
*On certain years, cities in an EFTA/EEA country, candidate country or potential candidate have been eligible to apply for the ECoC title in addition to two EU cities. In your opinion, to what extent does extending the title to these non-EU cities aligned with the general objective of the ECoC action to safeguard and promote the diversity of cultures in Europe and to highlight the common features they share? To a large extent To a moderate extent To a small extent Not at all - non-EU cities should not be included in the ECoC action I don't know	gn
*In your opinion, should the action be extended to additional non-EU countries beyond EFTA/EEA countries, candidate countries, or potential candidates? Yes No I don't know	
*In your opinion, at which frequency should cities in EFTA/EEA countries, candidates or potential candidates be eligible to apply for the ECoC title? Every year Every two years	ate

	14 works well to ensure the best	impleme	ntation c	f the Euro		establis apital c	
Cu	Ilture event?						
	Works very well						
	Works quite well						
	Does not work that well						
	Does not work well at all						
	I don't know						
	1 3311 (14110 11						
*Ple	ease explain your answer, in par	ticular thir	nking of	any factors	that c	ould	
ро	sitively or negatively affect the m	onitoring	process	:			
Τo	what extent do you think the EC	CoC action	ı is relev	ant to:			
. 0	What extent do you think the Le					Not	
		Highly relevant	Moderate relevar	•	l r	elevant at all	Don't know
	* Cities' needs and overall objectives	•	0	0			
						0	0
	* Different Member States' needs	0	•	0		© ©	0
	Different Member States' needs Needs across the EU, such as in relation to the digital and green transition	0	©	•			0
To	* Needs across the EU, such as in relation to the digital and green transition	0	0	•		0	0
	* Needs across the EU, such as in relation to the digital and green transition what extent do you think the ob	ectives a	0	•	CoC ac	0	0
	* Needs across the EU, such as in relation to the digital and green transition	ectives a	nd criter	a of the E		etion pro	0
	* Needs across the EU, such as in relation to the digital and green transition what extent do you think the ob	ectives a	nd criter To a large	•	CoC ac	0	omote Don't
	* Needs across the EU, such as in relation to the digital and green transition what extent do you think the ob	ectives a	To a large extent	To a moderate extent	To some extent	Not at all	omote Don't know
	* Needs across the EU, such as in relation to the digital and green transition what extent do you think the ob	ectives a	nd criter To a large	To a moderate	To some	etion pro	omote Don't

Every three years

Other

To what extent do you think the ECoC action is complementary or overlapping with other EU and national initiatives linked to promoting culture in cities:

	Largely complementary	Complementary	Overlapping	Largely overlapping	Don't know
* EU initiatives and policies	0	•	0	0	0
* National initiatives and policies	0	•	0	0	0

pact on selected cities?	did the Eoo	C title have a l	onger-term	legacy	anu
	To a large extent	To a moderate extent	To some extent	Not at all	Don'
* Improved the longer-term cultural offer of cities	•	0	0	0	0
* Supported city regeneration	0	•	0	0	0
* Supported the development of quality tourism	0	0	•	0	0
* Enhanced the image of the city	0	•	0	0	0
* Supported social inclusion	0	•	0	0	0
* Promoted environmental sustainability	0	•	0	0	0
an you identify any areas who Culture title is still evident in camples if possible.	_			-	-
	ı international me	•			

V

	Financial or budgetary constraints linked to culture at the city/regional or national level
	Other policy areas being prioritised over culture
	A lack of longer-term legacy planning by the ECoC title holder
	A lack of city strategies setting out longer-term plans
	A loss of interest in culture among stakeholders following the ECoC year
	☑ The difficulty to maintain cultural networking at EU level
	☑ Other
	Don't know
	None
* Ple	ease specify:
	all the mentioned aspects are related to budget constraints.
*In	your opinion, should the European Capital of Culture action continue?
	Yes
	O No
	I don't know
Ple	ease explain your answer:
Do	you have any final remarks or suggestions for improvement beyond 2033
reç	garding the European Capital of Culture action that were not covered in the
qu	estionnaire?
	we would like to underline the importance of European values and putting them in practice in the local context. the importance of local peaople feeling part of Europe.

Contact

EAC-ECOC@ec.europa.eu